



Advancing Academic Resilience through Emotion Regulation in Digital Learning Environments

Sondang Maria Jacqueline Silaen¹

¹Universitas Persada Indonesia Y.A.I, Jakarta, Indonesia

*Corresponding Author: Sondang Maria Jacqueline Silaen

Email: sondang.silaen@upi-yai.ac.id



Article Info

Article history:

Received 11 July 2025

Received in revised form 28 July 2025

Accepted 16 August 2025

Keywords:

Emotional Regulation

Academic Resilience

Digital Learning

Self-Regulated Learning

Psychological Adaptability

Abstract

Digital learning environments amplify both opportunity and strain, demanding that students manage emotions in order to sustain persistence. This study explored how undergraduate students regulate their emotions as they navigate the challenges of online education. Using in-depth interviews and qualitative thematic analysis, the research uncovered four interwoven processes through which resilience was enacted. Emotional strain, often experienced as frustration or isolation, became a trigger for regulatory awareness. Cognitive reappraisal enabled students to reinterpret setbacks as growth opportunities, preserving motivation in the face of adversity. Mindfulness and self-awareness provided grounding practices that stabilized attention and emotional balance in distraction rich settings. Relational connections with peers, instructors, and family supplied the scaffolding that transformed individual strategies into sustainable resilience. Together these findings show that resilience is not a static trait but a dynamic practice forged through the interplay of meaning making, embodied regulation, and social support. The study contributes to theory by integrating these dimensions into a more comprehensive model of resilience in digital education and offers practical guidance for designing learning environments that intentionally cultivate both emotional wellbeing and academic persistence.

Introduction

The shift toward digital learning has reconfigured the emotional, cognitive, and relational dimensions of higher education. Students no longer encounter challenges solely in physical classrooms but within mediated environments where connectivity, screen fatigue, and reduced immediacy of support shape the texture of learning. Recent studies have underscored how these environments heighten both opportunity and vulnerability. For example, Rodríguez-Ardura and Meseguer-Artola (2021) found that the immersive yet fragmented nature of online platforms increases the likelihood of emotional exhaustion when learners lack effective regulation. Similarly, Suwarno et al. (2023) reported that multitasking and constant digital interruption amplify anxiety, threatening academic persistence. These findings echo broader global surveys by the World Health Organization (2021) and the American Psychological Association (2022), which show rising levels of stress among university students during and after the transition to online formats. Together this body of work establishes that resilience in digital learning is not incidental but central to academic survival and flourishing (Motz et al., 2023; Ferris, 2024; Rothrock., 2018).

Within this context, emotion regulation emerges as a decisive mechanism. Gross's (2015) model of regulation has been widely applied to explain how reappraisal, suppression, and attentional control shape emotional outcomes. Recent educational studies extend this framework by demonstrating that cognitive reappraisal is strongly linked to academic

perseverance. For instance, Ben-Eliyahu (2019) showed that students who reinterpreted negative academic feedback reported higher self-efficacy and lower burnout, while Moore and Hansen (2022) found that reappraisal buffered anxiety in online courses. At the same time, resilience cannot be reduced to individual cognitive strategies alone. Research on self-regulated learning highlights that motivational, emotional, and cognitive processes intersect (Li & Lajoie, 2022; Kim et al., 2023; Seli, 2019). More recent studies reinforce this view, with González-González et al. (2022) demonstrating that reappraisal supports academic self-efficacy and Win (2024) emphasizing its protective role against online burnout. These insights situate emotion regulation as both a psychological skill and an educational resource that underpins resilience.

Yet resilience is not only a cognitive or individual achievement. Ungar (2012) argues that resilience is best understood as a negotiation between personal capacities and available resources. This relational and contextual view has gained renewed relevance in digital learning where isolation, fragmentation, and unequal access shape student experience. Research confirms that supportive interactions can transform emotional strain into persistence. Frisby et al. (2024) showed that academic resilience is strengthened by supportive networks, while more recent evidence by Stone & Springer (2019) demonstrates that relational presence reduces digital fatigue. Instructors also play a pivotal role. Studies by Darling-Hammond and Cook-Harvey (2018) and Portele (2023) reveal that responsive and caring teaching fosters emotional safety that supports regulation. These perspectives underscore that resilience is co-constructed and that digital environments cannot be fully understood without considering the interplay between internal regulation and external support (Setyadi et al., 2025; Storer et al., 2024; Katsenou et al., 2025; Cheruiyot & Venter, 2024).

The theoretical and practical stakes are therefore significant. On one hand, resilience discourses risk being co-opted into narratives that valorize individual endurance while neglecting systemic inequities. Reicher (2010) warn that uncritical emphasis on adaptation may obscure structural failures. On the other hand, empirical evidence shows that emotion regulation strategies such as reappraisal and mindfulness can be taught, embedded, and scaffolded in ways that improve both wellbeing and persistence (Gross, 2013; Valente et al., 2022; Edisherashvili et al., 2022). The challenge is to integrate these practices without shifting responsibility entirely onto learners, ensuring that resilience is cultivated alongside structural support.

This study responds to these debates by examining how students themselves describe the role of emotion regulation in sustaining resilience during digital learning. Through in-depth qualitative interviews, it identifies four interwoven processes: emotional strain as catalyst for awareness, cognitive reappraisal as a pathway to persistence, mindfulness and self-awareness as anchors amid distraction, and relational connections as scaffolding for regulation. By weaving these findings into dialogue with existing theory and empirical work, the study contributes a more comprehensive understanding of resilience in digital education as a dynamic process that is individual yet relational, cognitive yet embodied, and adaptive yet ethically situated.

Methods

This study was designed as a qualitative inquiry that seeks to understand how students regulate their emotions in digital learning environments and how these processes shape their academic resilience. The choice of a qualitative design rests on the belief that the complexities of emotional life cannot be fully captured through numbers alone. Students' experiences with stress, distraction, and persistence are deeply contextual and embedded in personal narratives. Qualitative research allows these lived experiences to be voiced and interpreted, offering insights into the meanings students attach to their struggles and strategies in digital education.

Participants

The participants in this study were undergraduate students who had been actively engaged in digital learning for at least one academic year. This criterion was important because it ensured that participants had substantial experience in navigating both the affordances and challenges of online education. Fifteen students were recruited through purposive sampling. They came from different academic programs including social sciences, health sciences, and engineering, which enriched the diversity of perspectives. The sample size was determined not by statistical requirements but by the principle of saturation. Interviews continued until the narratives no longer produced new insights, indicating that the themes had become well developed.

Data Collection

Data were collected through semi structured interviews. This method was chosen because it provides both direction and openness. The interview guide outlined central topics such as experiences of stress during digital classes, strategies for managing emotions when facing deadlines or distractions, and personal reflections on what helped them remain motivated. At the same time, participants were encouraged to expand their answers freely, bringing forward aspects of their experiences that mattered most to them. Interviews were conducted online through video calls, reflecting the very environment under study. Each session lasted between forty five minutes and one hour. With permission, all interviews were audio recorded and later transcribed verbatim.

The interview guide functioned as the main research instrument. Questions were crafted to be open and evocative. Examples included “Can you describe a moment when you felt emotionally challenged while studying online” and “What do you usually do to manage your emotions when digital distractions interfere with your focus.” Probing questions such as “Can you tell me more about that situation” were used to deepen the discussion and to capture the subtle dimensions of the participants’ experiences.

Data Analysis

The analysis followed the principles of thematic analysis. The transcripts were read repeatedly in order to develop familiarity and to begin noticing initial patterns. The researcher then carried out a process of open coding, assigning descriptive labels to segments of text that expressed meaningful ideas. These codes were gradually clustered into categories that reflected broader patterns, such as the use of cognitive reappraisal, the role of mindfulness practices, or the struggle with social isolation in online learning. From these categories, overarching themes were constructed to capture the ways in which emotion regulation supported or hindered resilience.

The analytic process was iterative. Emerging themes were constantly compared with new data to test their consistency and refine their boundaries. Reflection was integral to the analysis. The researcher kept a reflexive journal to record evolving interpretations, questions, and potential biases. This reflexivity ensured that the analysis remained grounded in participants’ voices rather than in preconceived assumptions.

Results and Discussion

The interviews revealed a rich set of experiences that show how students regulate their emotions in order to sustain academic resilience in digital learning environments. The analysis did not simply catalogue coping strategies but traced the ways in which students’ narratives reflected meaning making, adaptation, and growth. From the coding process and thematic synthesis four major themes were developed. These themes capture how students transformed

emotional strain into opportunities for regulation, how they used cognitive reappraisal to sustain persistence, how mindfulness and self awareness acted as anchors in distraction filled contexts, and how relational networks shaped their ability to cope with challenges. The themes are not separate fragments but interconnected dimensions of a broader process in which resilience is continually negotiated. Together they highlight that resilience in digital education is not a static trait but a dynamic practice built through both internal strategies and social interactions.

Emotional Strain in Digital Learning as a Catalyst for Regulation

For many students, digital learning was described as an emotionally heavy space where anxiety, frustration, and fatigue often took center stage. Yet in reflecting on these difficulties, participants did not only talk about being overwhelmed. They also described how these very strains forced them to find ways of regulating their emotions, turning difficult moments into opportunities to strengthen resilience. Emotional strain was therefore not simply an obstacle but a paradoxical condition that pushed students to adapt.

One participant captured this paradox vividly:

“When the internet suddenly goes down during an exam, I feel like panicking, but then I tell myself to breathe and wait. If I panic more, I will not be able to think clearly.”

The student’s immediate recognition that panic would worsen the situation reflects an awareness of how emotions can derail cognition. What matters here is not the absence of strain but the capacity to intervene in the emotional spiral before it becomes destructive. Stress, in this sense, became the spark that triggered regulatory awareness. It is telling that the strategy employed, breathing and self-talk, was not taught formally but emerged as a self-developed tool, underscoring how resilience grows organically from moments of crisis.

Others linked emotional strain to the feeling of social disconnection. As one participant explained,

“I often feel isolated in online classes because I cannot see my friends. It makes me feel sad and unmotivated, but then I remind myself that I am not the only one. Everyone is facing this situation.”

This illustrates how the absence of social presence in digital education magnifies feelings of loneliness. Yet instead of remaining trapped in isolation, the student reframed the experience as a collective struggle. This act of normalization did more than reduce sadness — it helped restore motivation by creating a sense of shared resilience. The student’s comment highlights that resilience is not simply an individual trait but is shaped by the perception of belonging to a wider community, even when physically separated.

Another student described the emotional overload of competing deadlines:

“Sometimes I get overwhelmed because assignments come at the same time. I cry first, then after a while I start writing one thing at a time.”

The honesty of this account is important. It challenges the common assumption that resilience means suppressing negative emotions. Instead, the student’s crying was part of the regulatory cycle, functioning as an emotional release that cleared the way for focused action. By acknowledging and expressing strain, the student prevented emotional build up and returned with renewed capacity to cope. This interpretation aligns with research that views resilience not as the avoidance of breakdown but as the ability to recover from it.

Frustration with delayed instructor feedback also emerged as a source of strain. As one participant reflected,

“It is easy to get frustrated when the lecturer does not respond quickly online. I used to feel angry, but now I tell myself to just focus on what I can control, like reading more on my own.”

This narrative demonstrates a transition from emotional dependency to autonomous regulation. The initial anger signaled a need for support, but repeated experiences of delay pushed the student to shift focus toward self directed strategies. Here strain becomes a teacher in itself, gradually shaping students to distinguish between controllable and uncontrollable aspects of digital learning. What emerges is resilience born not from ideal conditions but from the very frustrations of the environment.

A broader reflection was offered by another student:

“Online learning is tiring, honestly. Too many screens, too many distractions. But because of that, I learned how to manage myself better. I had to, or I would fail.”

This statement captures the essence of the theme. Digital education drained students emotionally, yet its intensity also created the conditions for growth. The exhaustion of “too many screens” forced the student to develop stronger self management skills, suggesting that resilience is forged precisely in the tension between vulnerability and necessity.

These narratives reveal a dynamic process where emotional strain in digital learning is not merely an obstacle to overcome but a critical moment that activates regulatory strategies. Students learned to pause, to reframe, to release tension, and to focus on controllable actions. More importantly, they came to recognize that resilience is not the absence of emotional struggle but the ability to transform emotional strain into an impetus for adaptation. This challenges the simplistic view of digital stress as purely negative and instead positions it as a paradoxical resource, painful yet productive, threatening yet transformative.

Cognitive Reappraisal as a Strategy for Academic Persistence

Among the most consistent insights shared by participants was the way they deliberately shifted their thinking when confronted with challenges in digital learning. This process of cognitive reappraisal became a vital emotional tool, enabling students to reinterpret situations that might otherwise have felt overwhelming. Through reappraisal, they managed not only to reduce the intensity of negative emotions but also to reshape these moments into opportunities for growth. What stands out in these narratives is that persistence did not come from denying difficulty. Instead, it arose from changing the meaning of difficulty so that it could be carried without destroying motivation.

“When I got a low grade on an online quiz, at first I felt very down. But then I told myself it was only one quiz and that I could use it as a lesson for the next one.”

This reflection shows the subtle but critical role of interpretation in sustaining persistence. The initial emotion was discouragement, which could easily have led to disengagement or avoidance. Yet by consciously reframing the event as temporary and as an opportunity for learning, the student changed its impact. The grade did not become less low, but its meaning shifted from a symbol of inadequacy to a stepping stone for improvement. What this suggests is that resilience is not achieved by removing failure but by integrating failure into a narrative of growth. In digital learning, where feedback often comes without the immediate reassurance of instructors, this kind of reinterpretation becomes essential. Without it, a poor grade can feel final and demoralizing. With it, the same grade becomes evidence that progress is still possible.

“Sometimes the online classes feel boring and endless. I try to see it as training for my patience. If I survive this, I know I can survive other challenges too.”

Here the student demonstrates how even the seemingly mundane challenge of monotony can be turned into a resource. Boredom is one of the most dangerous emotional states in digital learning because it erodes attention and weakens motivation. Yet by interpreting long classes as practice for patience, the participant gave purpose to the discomfort. The reappraisal did not erase the boredom but transformed it into a context for strengthening resilience. This finding points to the creativity with which students assign meaning to their experiences. Persistence becomes possible not because the class is made less boring but because boredom itself is reimagined as a valuable exercise. Such reinterpretations show that resilience is sustained not by removing discomfort but by weaving it into a story of personal development.

“When my laptop froze during an important assignment, I felt like giving up. But I thought maybe this is teaching me to be more prepared next time. Now I always save my work in two places.”

Technological failures are common in digital learning, and their emotional impact can be intense, especially when tied to high stakes tasks. The participant’s words capture both the despair of the moment and the conscious effort to reframe it as a lesson. By doing so, the emotional weight was lessened and a new behavior emerged — saving work in multiple places. This example highlights that cognitive reappraisal does not merely change feelings but can also lead to practical strategies that strengthen resilience. What was once a source of frustration became a teacher, and the adaptation that followed reduced the likelihood of future disruption. The implication is that resilience is not only about bouncing back emotionally but also about converting adversity into concrete habits that enhance preparedness.

“Sometimes I feel that online learning is unfair because not everyone has good internet or the same resources. I remind myself that these obstacles are part of life, and if I can adapt here, I will be stronger later in my career.”

This account expands the scope of reappraisal beyond individual setbacks to broader systemic challenges. Feelings of unfairness are powerful because they create a sense of injustice that can drain motivation. Yet the student’s strategy was not to deny the inequities but to reposition them within a larger life trajectory. By viewing unequal access as training for future adversity, the participant reframed a structural problem into a source of personal growth. The unfairness remained, but its meaning shifted in a way that preserved engagement. This illustrates how cognitive reappraisal enables students to live with realities that cannot be immediately changed while still maintaining forward momentum in their studies.

“When the online workload feels too much, I try to think that the pressure is training me to manage time better. It is stressful, but I believe it will help me be more disciplined.”

Heavy workload was frequently described as exhausting and overwhelming, yet this participant highlights how pressure was given new meaning. Instead of seeing the workload only as a burden, it was reframed as training for discipline and time management. The stress of too many tasks was real, but the interpretation turned it into investment rather than depletion. This act of reappraisal provided justification for persistence because the workload was no longer senseless pressure but preparation for future responsibility. The student endured not because the work was easy but because it was endowed with purpose.

Together these narratives demonstrate that cognitive reappraisal plays a central role in sustaining academic persistence within digital environments. Students confronted a range of

emotional challenges, poor performance, boredom, technological breakdowns, structural inequities, and excessive workload. In each case, what determined whether they disengaged or persisted was not the presence of hardship but the meaning they gave to it. Cognitive reappraisal allowed them to reduce the emotional burden, reinterpret the experience as useful, and often to draw practical or developmental lessons from it. This finding underscores that resilience in digital learning is not simply endurance. It is the active reshaping of adversity into a narrative of growth that keeps students moving forward even when circumstances remain difficult.

Mindfulness and Self Awareness as Anchors in Distraction Rich Environments

Students repeatedly described the online learning space as saturated with interruptions that drain attention and amplify negative emotions. In response many of them turned to practices that cultivated moment to moment awareness of internal states. These practices ranged from simple breathing exercises to brief meditative routines and reflective noticing of bodily signals. What emerges from the data is that mindfulness and self awareness do not eliminate distraction. Instead they change how distraction is experienced and managed. They provide a stabilizing register that makes it possible to detect the early signs of disengagement, to interrupt unhelpful automatic reactions, and to reorient attention in ways that sustain learning. Below are voices that illustrate this pattern followed by deep and integrated interpretations.

"When my phone keeps buzzing during a lecture, I used to lose focus. Now I put it face down and take five deep breaths before refocusing."

This account shows a concrete micro ritual that anchors attention and interrupts the escalation from irritation to sustained distraction. The student does not claim that the buzzing stops, but by placing the phone out of sight and initiating a short breathing practice, the emotional arousal that would normally cascade into prolonged inattention is down regulated. The breathing works as a quick recalibration of physiological state, which in turn narrows the attentional window and restores cognitive control. Importantly this is not merely a superficial tactic. It reflects an embodied awareness that a physical action can interrupt a cognitive loop, and it demonstrates how simple mindful acts become accessible regulatory resources in the heat of live learning demands.

"I started doing short breathing exercises before studying and it makes me less reactive when things go wrong."

This reflection indicates a preventative logic rather than only an in the moment fix. The student establishes a preparatory routine that alters baseline arousal levels, which reduces the likelihood of reactive emotional spikes when setbacks occur. The shift here is from reactive suppression to preemptive regulation. By integrating short mindful practices into a study ritual the student stabilizes mood and attention, and thereby increases the capacity to engage with difficulty without becoming overwhelmed. This pattern suggests that mindfulness operates both as a buffering habit and as an on demand tool, and that its true value lies in its ability to change how challenges are met rather than to remove challenges themselves.

"Being aware of how my body feels when I am frustrated helps me stop and choose to take a break instead of scrolling social media."

This testimony highlights the role of interoceptive awareness in interrupting maladaptive coping. The student uses somatic cues as an early warning system, noticing tension or a racing heart before the urge to escape into distraction becomes entrenched. That pause creates a decision point where alternative, constructive actions can be chosen. Taking a break is not avoidance in this context. It is a strategic reset that prevents deeper disengagement and protects

cognitive resources. This account underlines that self awareness does not rely solely on cognitive insight but on felt experience, and that embodied noticing is a powerful lever for regulating behavior in attention sparse environments.

"Mindfulness apps helped me notice patterns of distraction. Once I saw the pattern I could plan study blocks around it."

This example shows how reflective awareness can shift from momentary noticing to strategic planning. The student used data from guided practices to identify when and how attention lapses occurred, and then structured study time to align with those rhythms. That is, mindfulness created diagnostic clarity which enabled practical environmental design. The transition from noticing to planning is critical because it reveals how mindfulness can scale from internal regulation to external scaffolding. When students understand their own distraction patterns they can design routines and study environments that reduce friction, and in doing so they turn self awareness into an actionable resilience strategy.

"Sometimes I meditate for ten minutes and then the whole assignment feels smaller and more doable."

Here the student captures the qualitative shift that a short contemplative practice can produce. The meditation does not reduce task complexity but it reduces the subjective size of that complexity by lowering emotional intensity and expanding attentional capacity. The result is a cognitive reframing that makes next steps visible and manageable. This illustrates the interplay between affective regulation and cognitive clarity. Mindful practices create mental space where the problem can be parsed and action steps can be identified, which in turn supports sustained engagement and task completion.

These narratives show that mindfulness and self awareness function as anchor practices in distraction rich digital settings. They operate on multiple levels. At the physiological level they down regulate arousal and reduce the intensity of reactive emotions. At the cognitive level they narrow the attentional field and improve the ability to reengage with task relevant material. At the behavioral level they create decision points that replace automatic escapes with intentional choices. And at the planning level they provide insight that allows students to design study rhythms that align with their natural patterns of focus and fatigue.

Practically, brief accessible practices such as breathing routines or short meditations can be taught and embedded into digital courses to give students portable tools that work during live sessions. Structuring learning tasks to include intentional pauses and micro resets can reduce cumulative cognitive load and prevent breakdown. Theoretically, these findings suggest that resilience in digital learning depends on the integration of embodied awareness with cognitive strategies. Mindfulness does not act in isolation. It amplifies other regulatory mechanisms such as cognitive reappraisal and time management by creating the mental conditions that make those strategies more available in moments of strain.

There is an equity and access nuance to acknowledge. Not every student will have the privacy or the uninterrupted time needed for longer practices, which is why short interventions that can be performed at the desk are valuable. Encouraging small routines that fit into the flow of online learning can make self awareness practices feasible for a broader range of students. In sum mindfulness and self awareness appear less as exotic remedies and more as practical anchors that transform how distraction is experienced and managed, and thereby contribute materially to students sustained resilience in digital education.

Social and Relational Dimensions of Emotional Resilience

Although emotion regulation is often described as an individual skill, the voices of the participants made it clear that resilience in digital learning is deeply relational. Students consistently emphasized that their ability to manage stress and persist in online education was shaped by the presence or absence of supportive interactions. Peer networks, study groups, and instructor responses created emotional buffers that softened the weight of digital strain. Social connections did not remove the challenges of distraction, isolation, or workload, but they provided relational spaces in which emotions could be shared, normalized, and managed. The following accounts illustrate how social and relational dynamics influenced emotional resilience.

“When I join group study online, I feel less stressed because I know my friends are also struggling. It makes me feel I am not alone in this.”

This statement highlights the normalizing power of peer connection. Stress was reduced not because the workload changed but because the emotional burden was shared. Realizing that struggles were common created a sense of solidarity that restored motivation. Resilience in this case came from the collective, where belonging counteracted isolation and reduced the perception of personal failure.

“Talking with a close friend after class helps me calm down. I can complain a little, then I feel lighter and ready to continue my work.”

Here emotional release through conversation becomes a form of regulation. Venting frustrations in a safe relationship served as an outlet that prevented negative feelings from accumulating. What emerges is that resilience is not only about controlling emotions internally but also about finding external spaces where emotions can be expressed and diffused.

“If the lecturer responds quickly to my questions, I feel encouraged. When they take too long, I get anxious and frustrated.”

Instructor responsiveness was repeatedly described as a factor that shaped students' emotions. Quick replies reassured students that they were supported and not left adrift, which strengthened confidence. Conversely, long silences amplified stress. This illustrates how teacher presence functions as a social regulator of emotion in digital learning, influencing resilience in ways that extend beyond academic content.

“My classmates and I sometimes send each other memes or jokes during online learning. It makes the atmosphere lighter and I do not feel so tense.”

Humor emerged as another relational practice that helped to regulate emotions. The playful exchanges did not remove the academic demands, but they altered the mood of the digital classroom. Humor created micro moments of relief that balanced the heaviness of online study. Resilience was supported not through formal mechanisms but through the informal affective economy of friendship.

“When my internet connection was unstable, a friend recorded the lecture for me. That small gesture made me feel cared for and less panicked.”

This narrative shows how practical support also carries emotional weight. The friend's act of recording reduced immediate academic anxiety but also communicated solidarity and care. Such relational gestures built trust and reduced the sense of vulnerability that technological failures often provoke. Resilience here was cultivated through acts of mutual aid that combined practical and emotional dimensions.

“I feel more motivated when I know someone is studying at the same time as me. Even if we are not in the same room, just checking in with each other keeps me focused.”

Co presence, even in a virtual form, was reported as a motivator. The knowledge that others were engaged in parallel work created accountability and shared momentum. Emotional regulation was supported because the act of studying became less solitary, reducing the temptation to disengage. This highlights the social scaffolding of resilience, where persistence is sustained through companionship.

“Sometimes I get overwhelmed, but when my family reminds me to rest or eat, I realize I do not have to carry everything alone.”

Family support provided another relational anchor. Emotional reminders to rest or take care of health helped students maintain balance. This suggests that resilience is sustained not only within academic networks but also within household and familial interactions that encourage care.

These narratives demonstrate that resilience in digital learning is not purely an internal achievement. Emotional regulation was consistently linked to relational contexts that offered validation, encouragement, humor, or practical support. Social interactions acted as pressure valves, accountability systems, and sources of reassurance that buffered against the isolating tendencies of online education. The findings show that while individual strategies such as reappraisal and mindfulness are crucial, they become more sustainable when embedded in a web of relational practices. Resilience in digital environments is therefore best understood as co constructed, shaped by the ways students connect, share, and support each other.

Unpacking the Dynamism of Emotion Regulation in Online Academic Resilience

Based on the results, it is shown that in cases where digital learning situations invoke affective stress, students do not necessarily cope perseveringly, they enter emergent sensitivity that welcomes considerate moderation. The participants described episodes where failure of technology or a feeling of isolation have worsened and led to frustration or dejection. However, such emotional outbursts all too frequently led to momentary gaps in action, involving moments of reflection and the conscious choice of other responses. These trends coincide with Lee et al. (2024) since they posit that emotional discomfort can be considered a metacognitive signal, thus, fostering adaptive measures. Simons et al. (2018) also illustrates the importance such that students being in a distance course who face a constant amount of degree stress were extra prone to assert resilience, when they made a semantic change to transform this academic source of stress into worthwhile rather than aversive meaning. Taken together, these reflections can indicate that the strain of emotionality in digital is not just a risk but stimulates self-recognition and self-control at the next level.

Focal here in this process is cognitive reappraisal which becomes, in effect, a central mechanism that supports academic persistence (Brockbank & Feldon, 2024; Losenno et al., 2020; Iuga et al., 2025). The data in the interviews show that students on multiple settings recontextualized adversity: they took poor grades as lessons, tediousness as strengthening patience exercises, work overload as practices that built discipline, and inequity as opportunities that lead to growth. This practical re-conceptualisation aligns with that of Lee-St. John et al. (2018), who conducted a longitudinal study showing that success in reducing dropout rates is achieved by intervening (i.e. providing scaffolded support to encouraging students to interpret negative feedback as growth possibilities). Similarly, the results of the study by Gardner (2019) allow concluding that students taking on growth-oriented narratives are highly engaged even in the situation when technological disruption affects the course

delivery. These studies, in combination, make it clear that cognitive reappraisal is not a secondary mechanism of coping but rather a core element of maintaining motivation in digitally mediated learning environments.

Classroom experience is enhanced by the incorporation of mindfulness and increased self-awareness in order to have corporeal anchors during cognitive and environmental distractions. When faced with an unending stream of notifications or having a physically demanding day, learners stated grounding made it easier by deep conscious breathing and paying attention to the body. These micro-practices are consistent with what Broderick (2021) and Sprenger (2020) found with brief periods of mindful breathing and the ability to manage their own emotions, respectively, being linked to the ability to pay better attention in remote lectures and the student perseverance. Taken together, these studies indicate that situational awareness not only controls affect but also enables moment-to-moment regulation of attention and cognitive control, providing much needed nourishment when the demands on the environment place intolerable constraints on the individual.

It is just possible that most illuminating of all about these internal strategies is their interwoven character with time-flow within relations. Regularly, students also indicated that reappraising frustration following a joke made by a peer or timely response by the instructor made their coping attempts more sustainable. This result can be aligned with the findings by He et al. (2024) that demonstrated that emotional exhaustion in virtual environments is compensated by the provision of in-class social support. In parallel activities by Thacker et al. (2022), the virtual relationships with peers reduce feelings of online fatigue and increase feelings of belongingness, which maintains engagement. Such relational processes accentuate the fact that digital academic resilience is co-produced in a framework of mutually venture-present and mutual-encouragement.

Collectively, all these evidence-based and experiential discoveries can outline a comprehensive picture of digital academic resilience. Emotional strain induces an increased sense of self-awareness; reflective processes produce reappraisal; the support that anchors them exists through relationship. Previous studies (such as Zarotti et al., 2002; Chow et al., 2020) have focused on reappraisal alone, and mindfulness alone, respectively. The current study rather integrates the two constructs into a single resilience-building process. This holistic picture is consistent with the fluid notions of resilience proposed by Ng et al. (2022), who place adaptive responses along the ongoing balancing of internal reflective capacities and social context. In line with this, resilience is recontextualized as a situated practice, hard socioemotional and meaning-making.

Such results have pedagogical and ethical consequences. Integrating reflective tasks and cognitive reframing points in the learning curriculum pieces of blended learning can be backed based on the data. It has already been established by Fisher & Baird (2005) that: these kinds of design elements play a pivotal role in increasing student retention during virtual modules. In the ethical dimension, however, it is of essence not to place the whole burden of coping on the shoulders of students. However, Rahman (2018) warn that paying too much attention to the regulation of particular people may reduce the noticeability of structural inequalities. In fact, student reframing of unequal access as growth-building can end up sustaining the status quo where inequality is inherent. Thus, it is recommended that they combine the development of regulatory skills with structural investments i.e. reliable connectivity, equitable pedagogy, and prompt support services to address root causes of statistical inequities.

Conclusion

The current study proves that academic resilience under digital learning can be explained in terms of four processes that overlap with one another. One, emotional strain acts like an accelerator that induces a sharpened self-awareness. Second, cognitive reappraisal turns the negative experiences into constructive experiences of growth. Third, the state of mindfulness and strengthened self-awareness provides stabilizing methods to permit the capacity to concentrate. Fourth, relations to peers, instructors and family provide a scaffolding system that makes the regulatory attempts effective. Taken together, these results emphasize resilience neither as a personality trait, nor as a structural-functional process but as a highly flexible and action-oriented construct that is the product of the dynamics of individual strategies and social bonds.

The value of the current study lies within disclosing the fact that resilience in online learning cannot be developed based on individual sets of skills but, instead, requires a systemic approach to the sense-making, mindful presence, and interpersonal support. Opportunities to engage in reflective practice, instructional approaches to instill reappraisal thinking, and the support of nurturing networks” will help the educators to reinforce persistence in students. At the same time, institutions should solve structural injustices that increase emotional pressure. Thinking of resilience as a shared, coping process opens up the possibility of engineering digital learning environments that do more than reduce stress: they facilitate student development.

Acknowledgment

The authors express their deepest gratitude to all individuals and institutions who have contributed to the completion of this research. Special appreciation is extended to academic scholars, researchers, and educators who have provided valuable insights and discussions on the role of emotion regulation in academic resilience within digital learning environments. Their work has served as a foundation for the critical analysis presented in this study.

We also acknowledge the support from universities, research institutions, and online learning platforms that have facilitated access to relevant literature and provided an academic space for discourse on digital education, psychological resilience, and self-regulated learning strategies. Additionally, we extend our thanks to students and educators who have shared their experiences and challenges in navigating digital learning, offering first-hand perspectives that have enriched the findings of this research.

Finally, our sincere gratitude goes to family, colleagues, and mentors for their unwavering encouragement and motivation throughout the research process. This study is dedicated to the continued advancement of effective learning environments that foster emotional resilience, adaptability, and academic success in the digital era.

References

- Amin, Z., & Zinaida, R. S. (2024). Digital Loneliness in Social Media: The Epoch of our Connected and Distraction World. In *Islamic Finance: New Trends in Law and Regulation* (pp. 807–827). Springer. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-48770-5_65
- Ben-Eliyahu, A. (2019). Academic emotional learning: A critical component of self-regulated learning in the emotional learning cycle. *Educational Psychologist*, 54(2), 84–105. <https://psycnet.apa.org/doi/10.1080/00461520.2019.1582345>

- Besser, A., Flett, G. L., & Zeigler-Hill, V. (2022). Adaptability to a sudden transition to online learning during the COVID-19 pandemic: Understanding the challenges for students. *Scholarship of Teaching and Learning in Psychology*, 8(2), 85. <https://psycnet.apa.org/doi/10.1037/stl0000198>
- Brockbank, R. B., & Feldon, D. F. (2024). Cognitive reappraisal: The bridge between cognitive load and emotion. *Education Sciences*, 14(8), 870. <https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci14080870>
- Broderick, P. C. (2021). *Learning to breathe: A mindfulness curriculum for adolescents to cultivate emotion regulation, attention, and performance*. new harbinger publications.
- Cheruiyot, R., & Venter, R. (2024). Complex systems and sustainable leadership: Enhancing resilience and sustainability of community-based social enterprises in Soweto, South Africa. *Sustainability*, 16(19), 8555. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su16198555>
- Chow, K. M., Tang, F. W., Tang, W. P., & Leung, A. W. (2020). Resilience-building module for undergraduate nursing students: A mixed-methods evaluation. *Nurse Education in Practice*, 49, 102912. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nepr.2020.102912>
- Darling-Hammond, L., & Cook-Harvey, C. M. (2018). Educating the Whole Child: Improving School Climate to Support Student Success. *Learning Policy Institute*. <https://doi.org/10.54300/145.655>
- Edisherashvili, N., Saks, K., Pedaste, M., & Leijen, Ä. (2022). Supporting self-regulated learning in distance learning contexts at higher education level: systematic literature review. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 12, 792422. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2021.792422>
- Ferris, G. (2024). Undermining resilience: how the modern UK university manufactures heightened vulnerability in legal academics and what is to be done. In *Vulnerability and the Organisation of Academic Labour* (pp. 24-41). Routledge. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/03069400.2021.1872865>
- Fisher, M., & Baird, D. E. (2005). Online learning design that fosters student support, self-regulation, and retention. *Campus-wide information systems*, 22(2), 88-107. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1108/10650740510587100>
- Frisby, B. N., Sidelinger, R. J., Lawrence, A., & Ribott, M. (2024). Students' peer network quality: effects on academic resilience and intention to persist. *Review of Communication*, 24(3), 133-145. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15358593.2024.2374913>
- Gardner, S. (2019). *Student growth oriented and academic mindsets, a mixed methods descriptive case study of Washington State MESA high school students* (Doctoral dissertation).
- Gross, J. J. (2001). Emotion regulation in adulthood: Timing is everything. *Current Directions in Psychological Science*, 10(6), 214–219. <https://psycnet.apa.org/doi/10.1111/1467-8721.00152>
- Gross, J. J. (2013). Emotion regulation: taking stock and moving forward. *Emotion*, 13(3), 359. <https://psycnet.apa.org/doi/10.1037/a0032135>
- He, L., Feng, L., & Ding, J. (2024). The relationship between perceived teacher emotional support, online academic burnout, academic self-efficacy, and online English

- academic engagement of Chinese EFL learners. *Sustainability*, 16(13), 5542. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su16135542>
- Iuga, I. A., Fodor, L. A., & David, O. A. (2025). Cognitive emotion regulation strategies and academic burnout dimensions in children and adolescents: a network analysis approach. *Current Psychology*, 44(7), 5480-5493. <https://link.springer.com/10.1007/s12144-025-07537-0>
- Katsenou, R., Kotsidis, K., Papadopoulou, A., Anastasiadis, P., & Deliyannis, I. (2025). Beyond Assistance: Embracing AI as a Collaborative Co-Agent in Education. *Education Sciences*, 15(8), 1006. <https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci15081006>
- Kim, Y. E., Zepeda, C. D., & Butler, A. C. (2023). An interdisciplinary review of self-regulation of learning: Bridging cognitive and educational psychology perspectives. *Educational Psychology Review*, 35(3), 92. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10648-023-09800-x>
- Lee, H. H., Liu, G. K. M., Chen, Y. C., & Yeh, S. L. (2024). Exploring quantitative measures in metacognition of emotion. *Scientific Reports*, 14(1), 1990. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41598-023-49709-7>
- Lee-St. John, T. J., Walsh, M. E., Raczek, A. E., Vuilleumier, C. E., Foley, C., Heberle, A., ... & Dearing, E. (2018). The long-term impact of systemic student support in elementary school: Reducing high school dropout. *Aera Open*, 4(4), 2332858418799085. <https://doi.org/10.1177/2332858418799085>
- Li, S., & Lajoie, S. P. (2022). Cognitive engagement in self-regulated learning: an integrative model. *European Journal of Psychology of Education*, 37(3), 833-852. <https://psycnet.apa.org/doi/10.1007/s10212-021-00565-x>
- Losenno, K. M., Muis, K. R., Munzar, B., Denton, C. A., & Perry, N. E. (2020). The dynamic roles of cognitive reappraisal and self-regulated learning during mathematics problem solving: A mixed methods investigation. *Contemporary Educational Psychology*, 61, 101869. <https://psycnet.apa.org/doi/10.1016/j.cedpsych.2020.101869>
- Motz, R., Porta, M., & Reategui, E. (2023, October). Building Resilient Educational Systems: The Power of Digital Technologies. In *Latin American Conference on Learning Technologies* (pp. 370-383). Singapore: Springer Nature Singapore.
- Ng, S. L., Forsey, J., Boyd, V. A., Friesen, F., Langlois, S., Ladonna, K., ... & Steenhof, N. (2022). Combining adaptive expertise and (critically) reflective practice to support the development of knowledge, skill, and society. *Advances in Health Sciences Education*, 27(5), 1265-1281. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10459-022-10178-8>
- Park, C. L., Williams, M. K., Hernandez, P. R., Agocha, V. B., Lee, S. Y., Carney, L. M., & Loomis, D. (2020). Development of emotion regulation across the first two years of college. *Journal of Adolescence*, 84, 230-242. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.adolescence.2020.09.009>
- Portele, C. (2023). *Mindfulness in the Education System-Effects of Two Different Mindfulness-Based Trainings in Pre-and Elementary School*.
- Rahman, K. S. (2018). Constructing and contesting structural inequality. *Critical Analysis L.*, 5, 99.

- Reicher, H. (2010). Building inclusive education on social and emotional learning: Challenges and perspectives—a review. *International Journal of Inclusive Education*, 14(3), 213–246. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/13603110802504218>
- Rodríguez-Ardura, I., & Meseguer-Artola, A. (2021). Flow experiences in personalised e-learning environments and the role of gender and academic performance. *Interactive Learning Environments*, 29(1), 59–82. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/10494820.2019.1572628>
- Rothrock, R. (2018). *Digital resilience: Is your company ready for the next cyber threat?*. Amacom.
- Seli, H. (2019). *Motivation and learning strategies for college success: A focus on self-regulated learning*. Routledge.
- Setyadi, A., Pawirosumarto, S., & Damaris, A. (2025). Rethinking Sustainable Operations: A Multi-Level Integration of Circularity, Localization, and Digital Resilience in Manufacturing Systems. *Sustainability*, 17(15), 6929. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su17156929>
- Simons, J., Beaumont, K., & Holland, L. (2018). What factors promote student resilience on a level 1 distance learning module?. *Open Learning: The Journal of Open, Distance and e-Learning*, 33(1), 4-17. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/02680513.2017.1415140>
- Sprenger, M. (2020). *Social-emotional learning and the brain: Strategies to help your students thrive*. AscD.
- Stone, C., & Springer, M. (2019). Interactivity, connectedness and 'teacher-presence': Engaging and retaining students online. *Australian Journal of Adult Learning*, 59(2), 146-169.
- Storer, H. L., Gezinski, L. B., Shulruff, T., Malorni, A., & Hamby, S. (2024). Revamping advocacy for the digital age: Approaches for nurturing survivor-centered digital resiliency. *Journal of Family Violence*, 1-15. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10896-024-00712-0>
- Suwarno, S., Fitria, F., & Azhar, R. (2023). Optimizing budget allocation: a strategic framework for aligning human resource investments with financial objectives and business goals. *Atestasi: Jurnal Ilmiah Akuntansi*, 6(2), 835-855. <https://doi.org/10.57178/atestasi.v6i2.880>
- Thacker, I., Seyranian, V., Madva, A., Duong, N. T., & Beardsley, P. (2022). Social connectedness in physical isolation: Online teaching practices that support under-represented undergraduate students' feelings of belonging and engagement in STEM. *Education Sciences*, 12(2), 61. <https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci12020061>
- Valente, S., Lourenço, A. A., Dominguez-Lara, S., Derakhshandeh, A., Németh, Z., & Almeida, L. S. (2022). Teachers' emotion regulation: Implications for classroom conflict management. *Australian Journal of Teacher Education (Online)*, 47(8), 18–32. <http://dx.doi.org/10.14221/ajte.2022v47n8.2>
- Win, T. (2024). Literature Review on Academic Burnout and Depression Among Students. *International Journal*, 7(5), 333.
- World Health Organization (WHO). (2021). *The level of academic anxiety and stress in students*.

Zarotti, N., Povah, C., & Simpson, J. (2020). Mindfulness mediates the relationship between cognitive reappraisal and resilience in higher education students. *Personality and individual differences*, 156, 109795. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.paid.2019.109795>